REMARKS

In the last Action, restriction was required between claims 1-15 drawn to portable information apparatus comprising a liquid crystal device and claim 16 drawn to a film liquid crystal device. The Examiner stated that the two inventions are patentably distinct from each other, thereby making restriction proper. Applicant was required to elect one of the two inventions for further prosecution in this application.

In response to the restriction requirement, applicant has provisionally elected the invention of Group I drawn to portable information apparatus comprising a liquid crystal device and submits that claims 1-15 are readable on the elected invention. The non-elected claims have been retained in the application pending possible withdrawal of the restriction requirement or applicant's decision to pursue the subject matter thereof in a continuing application.

In light of the foregoing, early and favorable action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ADAMS & WILKS Attorneys for Applicant

By: Bruce L. Adam

Reg. No. 25,386

50 Broadway 31st Floor New York, NY 10004 (212) 809-3700

MAILING CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS NON-FEE AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

Michael Ruas

Name

Signature

OCTOBER 2, 2003

Date